UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/752,501	12/29/2000	Kireeti Kompella	Juniper-4 (JNP-0026)	9488
				EXAMINER	
	620 TINTON A			JONES, PRENELL P	
BLDG. B, 2ND FLOOR TINTON FALLS, NJ 07724				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2616	
	•	7590 04/09/2007 & POKOTYLO ON AVENUE 2ND FLOOR FALLS, NJ 07724 EXAMINER JONES, PRENELL P ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	. MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
	3 MO	NTHS	04/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

5

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/752,501	KOMPELLA, KIREETI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Prenell P. Jones	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	arch 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2,3,5,6,8-33,36-41,53-55,60,61,63,71	<u>,72 and 79-84</u> is/are pending in t	he application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) 2,3,5,6,8-28,36-41,53-55, 63,71,72 at	nd 79-84 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-33,60 and 61</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No.				
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)	n □	(DTO 412)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8-33, 36-41, 53-55, 60, 61, 63, 71, 72 and 79-84 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 29-33, 60 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claim 29-33, 60 and 61 recites an apparatus, which performs processing within a computer. However, Applicant fails to indicate what is done with the results after processing. It also appears to include executable instructions, which are Judiciary exception. Since there is no practical application recited by the claims, these claims are therefore non-statutory. See "interim guidelines." Section IV (B) and (C)

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 11, 8-28, 36-41, 53-55, 63, 71, 72 and 79-84 are allowed over prior art.
- 2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although the prior art discloses a communication system path determination by utilizing system constraints, they fail to teach or suggest with respect to claims 5, 6, 11, 20, 37, 38, 39, wherein the at least one network path determination constraint is expressed in the form of program including one or more executable instructions, with

Art Unit: 2616

respect to claim 18, determine whether the node is a tail-end, head-end or intermediate node, if it is determined that the node is a tail-end node, and at least one network path determination constraint has been satisfied, then signaling back to an upstream node of that path that the path is O.K., determining whether a strict-hop node is specified as a next node of an explicit path constraint, a loose-hop node is specified as a next node of an explicit path constraint or no node is specified as an explicit path constraint, if a stricthop node is specified as a next node of an explicit path constraint, then applying each of the at least one network path determination constraint to an appropriate one of a link between the node and the strict-hop node, and the partial path defined, with respect to claim 36, if the tail-end node of the path is in a part of the network, the topology of which is not known by the node, then performing a constraint-based path determination to a next node selected from a group of nodes consisting of an area border node, an autonomous system gateway node to generate a partial path and forwarding the message carrying the at least one network path determination constraint to an adjacent downstream node on the partial path, with respect to claim 40, a traffic engineering database generated by the processing for generating wherein the path determination facility is further adapted to determine at least a part of a path based on contents of the traffic engineering database, at least one path constraint received from signaling facility, wherein the path determination facility cannot determine a complete constraint-based path to a specified tail-end node, then the path determination facility performs a constraint-based path determination to a next node selected from a group of nodes, with respect to claim 54 and 55, forwarding the message carrying the at least one network path determination constraint to an adjacent downstream nod on the partial path, wherein the node is an intermediate node and wherein the act of performing a constraint-based path determination includes determining whether a link from the node

Art Unit: 2616

to the next node specified in the first portion of the path satisfies the set of at least on constraint, and with respect to claim 72, path determination constraint is an executable instructions.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in 1. this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prenell P. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-3180. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Prenell P. Jones

March 31, 2007

WELLINGTON CHIN

ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER